arXiv:1609.04257v1 [math.AC] 14 Sep 2016

NEW STRATEGIES FOR STANDARD BASES OVER Z

CHRISTIAN EDER, GERHARD PFISTER, AND ADRIAN POPESCU

Abstract. Experiences with the implementation of strong Gröbner bases respectively standard bases for polynomial rings over principal ideal rings are explained: different strategies for creating the pair set, methods to avoid coefficient growth and a normal form algorithm for non-global orderings.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to explain our experience in implementing the strong Gröbner bases (resp. standard bases) algorithm for polynomial rings over principal ideal rings. We use the following notation (for more details see [GP]).

Definition 1. *Let* $\mathrm{Mon}(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ *be the set of monomials in* $n\in\mathbb{N}$ *variables* $x_1,\ldots,x_n$. *We denote by* x *the set of all variables and for* $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$ *we set* $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdot\ldots\cdot x_n^{\alpha_n}$.

A ***monomial ordering*** $<$ *is a total ordering on* $\mathrm{Mon}(x)$ *satisfying the following property*

$$x^\alpha < x^\beta \implies x^\gamma\cdot x^\alpha < x^\gamma\cdot x^\beta \quad \text{for all } \alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\mathbb{N}^n.$$

A monomial ordering is called ***global*** *if* $1=x^0\le x^\alpha$ *for all* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$.
A monomial ordering is called ***local*** *if* $1=x^0\ge x^\alpha$ *for all* $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$.
A monomial ordering is called ***mixed*** *if there is* $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n$ *such that* $x^\alpha<1<x^\beta$.

Definition 2. *Let* $<$ *be a monomial ordering on Mon*(x), R *be a ring and* $f\in R[x] := R[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ *a polynomial. We can write* f *in a unique way*

$$f=\sum_{i=1}^{L} c_i\cdot x^{\alpha^{(i)}},$$

$0\neq c_i\in R$ *and* $\alpha^{(i)}\in\mathbb{N}^n$ *for* $1\le i\le L$ *such that* $x^{\alpha^{(1)}}<\ldots<x^{\alpha^{(L)}}$. *We define*

- *the* ***length*** *of* f *denoted by* $\ell(f)=L$,
- *the* ***leading term*** *denoted by* $\mathrm{LT}(f)=c_L\cdot x^{\alpha^{(L)}}$,
- *the* ***leading coefficient*** *denoted by* $\mathrm{LC}(f)=c_L$,
- *the* ***leading monomial*** *denoted by* $\mathrm{LM}(f)=x^{\alpha^{(L)}}$,
- *the* ***tail*** *of* f *denoted by* $\mathrm{tail}(f)=f-\mathrm{LT}(f)$,
- *the* ***degree*** *of* f *denoted by* $\deg(f)=\max\limits_{1\le i\le L}\left\{\alpha_1^{(i)}+\ldots+\alpha_n^{(i)}\right\}$ *and*
- *the* ***ecart*** *of* f *denoted by* $\mathrm{ecart}(f)=\deg(f)-\deg\big(\mathrm{LT}(f)\big)$.

Definition 3. *Let* $I\subseteq R[x]$ *be an ideal.*

The ***leading ideal*** *of* I *is* $L_<(I)=\langle\{\mathrm{LT}(f)\ \mid\ f\in I\}\rangle$.

The ***leading monomial ideal*** *of* I *is* $LM_<(I)=\langle\{\mathrm{LM}(f)\ \mid\ f\in I\}\rangle$.

The leading ideal for a set of polynomials $S:=\{f_1,\ldots,f_m\}$ *is defined to be the leading ideal of the ideal generated by* S.

From now on, if the monomial ordering is clear from the context, we will only write $L(I)$ (respectively $LM(I)$) instead of $L_<(I)$ (respectively $LM_<(I)$).

Definition 4 (Standard Bases)**.** *A **standard basis** of an ideal $I \subset R[x]$ with respect to a fixed monomial ordering $<$ is a finite set $S \subset I$ such that $L(I) = L(S)$.*

*S is a **strong standard basis** if additionally it satisfies the following property:*

$$\forall f \in I, \exists\ g \in S \text{ such that } \mathrm{LT}(g) \mid \mathrm{LT}(f).$$

Next we present a simple Buchberger algorithm for computing standard bases in polynomial rings over principal ideal rings. For this we introduce some more basic notions.

In the following, let R be a ring. Usually we will take R to be $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_r := \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ is not a prime number. Let $f, g \in R[x]$ be two polynomials such that

$$f = c_f \cdot m_f + \dots$$
$$g = c_g \cdot m_g + \dots$$

with $\mathrm{LT}(f) = c_f \cdot m_f$ and $\mathrm{LT}(g) = c_g \cdot m_g$.

Definition 5. *We define several important polynomials used in the strong standard bases theory.*

- *The $s-$**polynomial** (or **$s-$pair**) of f and g, denoted by*
$$\text{s-poly}(f,g) = \frac{\mathrm{lcm}(c_f, c_g)\,\mathrm{lcm}\,(m_f, m_g)}{c_f m_f} \cdot f - \frac{\mathrm{lcm}(c_f, c_g)\,\mathrm{lcm}\,(m_f, m_g)}{c_g m_g} \cdot g.$$
Note that the leading terms will cancel out.
- *Since R is a principal ideal ring, we have that $\langle c_f, c_g\rangle = \langle c\rangle$. Let $c = d_f \cdot c_f + d_g \cdot c_g$. The **strong polynomial** (or the **gcd polynomial**, **gcd$-$pair**, **strong pair**) of f and g denoted by*
$$\text{gcd-poly}(f,g) = \frac{d_f\,\mathrm{lcm}(m_f, m_g)}{m_f} \cdot f + \frac{d_g\,\mathrm{lcm}(m_f, m_g)}{m_g} \cdot g.$$
Notice that $\mathrm{LT}\left(\text{gcd-poly}(f,g)\right) = c \cdot \mathrm{lcm}(m_f, m_g)$.
- *In case of rings with zero divisors, the **extended $s-$polynomial** of f denoted by*
$$\text{ext-poly}(f) = \mathrm{Ann}(c_f) \cdot f = \mathrm{Ann}(c_f) \cdot \mathrm{tail}(f).$$
Note that if $\mathrm{LC}(f)$ *is not a zero divisor then* $\text{ext-poly}(f) = 0$.

Remark 1. *Note that in the definition of gcd$-$pairs d_f and d_g are not uniquely determined. Let two polynomials $f, g \in R[x]$,*

$$f = c_f \cdot m_f + \dots,$$
$$g = c_g \cdot m_g + \dots,$$

such that $\langle c\rangle = \langle c_f, c_g\rangle$. Let $d_f \neq d'_f, d_g \neq d'_g$ such that

$$d_f \cdot c_f + d_g \cdot c_g = c = d'_f \cdot c_F + d'_g \cdot c_g.$$

Denote by P and Q the gcd$-$polynomial constructed with d_f,d_g, respectively d'_f and d'_g. Then $P = Q + \alpha \cdot \text{s-poly}(f,g)$ for an $\alpha \in R$.

Note that if $\langle c_f, c_g\rangle = \langle c_f\rangle$, then we can take $d_f = 1$ and $d_g = 0$. Therefore $\text{gcd-poly}\,(f,g) = m \cdot f$, for the monomial $m = \dfrac{\mathrm{lcm}(m_f, m_g)}{m_f}$. In other words if one of the leading coefficients divides the other, then the gcd-poly is equal to a multiple of the

initial polynomial and, as we will later see, it brings no new information. We call such a gcd−polynomial **redundant**.

Algorithm 1 (Buchberger's algorithm)**.** *Let R be a principal ideal ring, $<$ a monomial ordering on $R[x]$.*

Algorithm 1 *std(ideal I)*

Require: $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_r \rangle \subseteq R[x]$ *an ideal*
Ensure: $S = \{g_1, \ldots, g_t\}$ *is a strong standard basis of* I
1: $S = \{f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$;
2: $L = \{\text{s-poly}(f_i, f_j) \mid i < j\} \cup \{\text{gcd-poly}(f_i, f_j) \mid i < j\} \cup \{\text{ext-poly}(f_i)\}$;
3: ***while*** $L \neq \emptyset$ ***do***
4: *choose* $h \in L$ *and* [1]*reduce it with* S;
5: ***if*** $h \neq 0$ ***then***
6: $S = S \cup \{h\}$;
7: $L = L \cup \{\text{s-poly}(g, h) \mid g \in S\} \cup \{\text{gcd-poly}(g, h) \mid g \in S\} \cup \{\text{ext-poly}(h)\}$;
return S

In the second section we compare two implementations of Buchberger's algorithm. They are distinguished in the modifications of step 7 in Algorithm 1.

In the third section we explain a method to avoid coefficient growth.

The fourth section describes the normal form procedure for local orderings which is implemented in the standard basis algorithm. The normal form procedure used for polynomial rings over fields does not terminate for local orderings over rings.

2. ALL vs. JUST

In this section let $R = \mathbb{Z}$. D. Lichtblau proved in [L, Theorem 2] that in Algorithm 1 it is in fact enough to take for a pair (g, h) either the s-poly or the gcd-poly. Note line 7 of the pseudo-code where we add s-poly(g, h) and gcd-poly(g, h) to the pair list L. Instead of this, if gcd-poly(g, h) is not redundant then we only add gcd-poly(g, h) and if gcd-poly(g, h) is redundant then we only add s-poly(g, h).

We name the usual strategy in which we consider all pairs by ALL and the one in which we consider just one pair simply by JUST.

At a first glance fewer pairs could be interpreted as a faster computation and less used memory. This turns out to be wrong, since the few pairs from JUST will generate many pairs later in the algorithm. We have compared the timings of the two different strategies for random examples.

In most cases, ALL strategy was faster than JUST. We found examples for which ALL was 38 000 times faster than JUST and examples where JUST was 2 300 times faster than ALL. In the tables below we present the different timings we obtained for our examples. All of the timings are represented in milliseconds. The input ideals can be found in the Appendix .

[1]Normal form of h with respect to S

	ALL time	JUST time	Factor
Example A:1	6 630	251 481 340	38 000
Example A:2	40	63 740	1 600
Example A:3	51 570	6 134 060	119
Example A:4	4 400	522 340	118
Example A:5	250	19 080	76
Example A:6	4 110	271 460	66
Example A:7	56 720	2 676 080	47
Example A:8	190	8 340	44
Example A:9	1 131 470	39 694 950	35
Example A:10	110	3 020	27

TABLE 1. Best examples for ALL strategy

	ALL time	JUST time	Factor
Example B:1	1 696 700	730	2 324
Example B:2	252 950	450	562
Example B:3	4 090	30	136
Example B:4	35 160	500	70
Example B:5	3 690	110	33

TABLE 2. Best examples for JUST strategy

All these examples where randomly generated. We considered the ideals over $\mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$ with the degree reverse lexicographical ordering.

We searched for such examples over finite rings, like $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{100}}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{10^{200}}$ but we were unable to find interesting examples over these finite rings. The reason why we found examples over $\mathbb{Z}$ is that here there are no bounds for coefficients. In order to give an idea of how huge the coefficients can be in the standard bases computation over $\mathbb{Z}$, we computed Example B:1 over $\mathbb{Z}_{10^{200}}$ with the ALL strategy and got the result after 8 seconds; over $\mathbb{Z}_{10^{1000}}$ we obtained the result after 648 seconds (10 minutes) and over $\mathbb{Z}$ we got the result after almost 30 hours. One can imagine how big the coefficients are when computing over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. This is the main reason why in some cases, the computation of a standard basis over $\mathbb{Z}$ will be slow.

3. Huge coefficients over the integers

One of the most common problems when computing over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is that the coefficients will rapidly increase. If during the algorithm we have two polynomials with big coefficients, when computing their s−polynomial (or strong polynomials) we multiply them with the corresponding monomials, and hence the coefficients will become larger. This will slow down the algorithm and increase the memory usage. In order to keep the coefficients small we have implemented the following algorithm.

Algorithm 2 (preIntegerCheck)**.** *Let $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_r \rangle$ be an ideal in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $<$ a fixed monomial ordering. We use the following idea to get access to a constant or a monomial (if it exists) from I. This is useful when computing over $\mathbb{Z}$ because adding this constant to the generating system of I before starting the standard basis algorithm will keep the coefficients small.*

The main idea is described as follows: we want to compute a standard basis over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. We will first compute the standard basis over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. If the result is 1, then we know there is a non-zero integer in the ideal.

Algorithm 2 *preIntegerCheck(ideal I)*

Require: $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_r \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ *an ideal*
Ensure: $\{f_1, \ldots, f_r, c \cdot m\}$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, m *monomial;* $m = 1$ *and* $c \neq 0$ *if* $I \cap \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$.
1: $J = \{1, f_1, \ldots, f_r\}$
2: *compute S, a standard basis of I over $\mathbb{Q}[x]$*
3: compute the syzygies $Z \subset \bigoplus_{i=0}^{r} \mathbb{Q}[x] \cdot \varepsilon_i$ of J
4: ***if*** $S = \langle 1 \rangle$ ***then***
5: search in Z for a syzygy where the 0 component consists of just a constant $c \cdot \varepsilon_0$ ***return*** $I \cup \{c\}$
6: ***else***
7: search in Z for a syzygy where the 0 component consists of just a term $c \cdot m \cdot \varepsilon_0$
8: ***if*** such monomial is found ***then return*** $I \cup \{c \cdot m\}$
return I

Note that Algorithm 2 is very costly and if the algorithm does not find a constant or monomial, it increases the standard basis run-time without bringing any new information. However in the other cases, the algorithms run-time will improve because we have a bound on the coefficients. This is very useful over $\mathbb{Z}$ since the biggest problem proves to be the size of the coefficients that appear during the standard basis computation. In case of parallel systems, one could run both versions (with the preIntegerCheck and without) and stop as soon as one version finishes.

Example 1. *In this example we use the degree reverse lexicographical ordering. Let* $I = \langle x + 4, xy + 9, x - y + 8 \rangle$ *be an ideal in* $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. *We compute the syzygies* $Z \subset \bigoplus_{i=0}^{3} \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ *of* $J = \{1, I\} =: \{1, f_1, f_2, f_3\}$. *The syzygies of J are*

$$7 \cdot \varepsilon_1 - (x + 4) \cdot \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + x \cdot \varepsilon_4,$$
$$(y - 4) \cdot \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_4 \text{ and}$$
$$(x + 4) \cdot \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2.$$

Indeed,

$$7 \cdot 1 + (-x - 4) \cdot f_1 + 1 \cdot f_2 + x \cdot f_3 = 0.$$

Since the standard basis of I over $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ is 1, it is expected that one of the syzygies has on the first component a constant − in this case it is the first syzygy. We continue by computing the standard basis of $\langle 7, I \rangle$ over $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$: $\{7, x + 4, y - 4\}$.

This was a very simple example. Now consider the ideal $I = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_{70} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$ and consider the degree reverse lexicographical ordering. The f_i can be found in the Appendix.

Despite of how *complicated* the ideal I seems to be, its standard basis consists of few small polynomials. We give below the Gröbner basis S of I:

$$S = \begin{array}{l} \big\{18, 6z - 12, 2y - 4, 2z^2 + 4z + 8, yz + z + 3, 3x^2z - 15x^2, x^2y + 3x^2z + x^2, \\ x^3 + 10z, x^2z^2 - 4x^2z - 11x^2\big\} \end{array}$$

Using the new strategy described in Algorithm 2, we compute the standard basis over $\mathbb{Q}[x,y,z]$ and see that it is equal to $\langle 1\rangle$. Hence the ideal contains an integer. Using SINGULAR 's syzygy procedure `syz`, we are not lucky enough to already get access to 18, but a multiple of it: 6 133 248. This seems to be a big number, but if we add this integer to the generators of `I` the computations will drastically speed up.

We show how 6 133 248 can be generated using $f_1,\dots,f_{70}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
6\,133\,248 = {} & (-231913440x^6z + 9838752x^3y - 4599936x^3z + 69696y)\cdot f_1 + \\
& (-1584y^3 + 13464y^2 + 12672y)\cdot f_2 + \\
& (-115956720x^6z + 1756920x^3y - 1724976x^3z - 40409160x^3 + 52272y + 200376)\cdot f_3 + \\
& (-38652240x^3y^3 + 38652240x^3y^2 + 154608960x^3 + 1149984y^2 + 4983264y + 3066624)\cdot f_4 + \\
& (-2551047840x^6z + 38652240x^3y - 37949472x^3z - 889001520x^3 + 574992y + 2779128)\cdot f_5 + \\
& (-159720x^3y^2 - 159720x^3y - 319440x^3 - 2376y^2 - 10296y - 12672)\cdot f_{10} + \\
& (-79860x^4 + 110xy^3 + 110xy^2 + 220xy - 2508x)\cdot f_{11} + \\
& (26136)\cdot f_{13} + \\
& (3630x^4y^2 + 3630x^4y + 7260x^4 + 54xy^2 + 154xy + 96x)\cdot f_{14} + \\
& (1540xy + 517x)\cdot f_{15} + \\
& (-792)\cdot f_{16} + \\
& (425920x)\cdot f_{18} + \\
& (-115956720x^3y^2 - 115956720x^3y - 231913440x^3 - 1724976y^2 - 7474896y - 4599936)\cdot f_{19} + \\
& (-1756920x^4 + 2420xy^3 + 2420xy^2 + 4840xy - 132616x)\cdot f_{26} + \\
& (851840x)\cdot f_{27} + \\
& (287496)\cdot f_{28} + \\
& (-38720x)\cdot f_{38}
\end{aligned}$$

4. The normal form procedure

It is well-known that the usual normal form procedure in Buchberger's algorithm does not terminate for local orderings (cf. [GP], [M], [MPT]). For polynomial rings over a field T. Mora solved the problems introducing a larger set T of polynomials for the reduction. For polynomial rings over $\mathbb{Z}$ we need not only to add the polynomials with large ecart to T but also the corresponding gcd−polynomials.

Algorithm 3 (normal form procedure)**.** *The following algorithm computes the normal form over rings in case of local and mixed orderings.*

Algorithm 3 *reduce(f, G)*

Require: $f \in R[x]$ and a finite subset $G \subset R[x]$, $<$ ordering

Ensure: $h \in R[x]$, a weak normal form of f w.r.t. G, i.e. $h = uf - \sum_{g\in G} c_g g, c_g \in R[x], u \in R[x]_<$ unit, $\mathrm{LM}(f) \geq \mathrm{LM}(c_g g)$ for all g such that $c_g g \neq 0$ and $h = 0$ or $\mathrm{LT}(h) \notin L(G)$.

```
h = f
T = G
while h ≠ 0 and there is a g ∈ T with LT(g) | LT(h) do
    choose such g with minimal ecart
    if ecart(g) > ecart(h) then
        T = T ∪ {h} ∪ {gcd-poly(h, t) | t ∈ T}
    h = s-poly(h, g)
return h
```

The proof that Algorithm 3 terminates and gives the expected result is given by Lichtblau [L] in the case of global orderings. The termination and correctness of the algorithm for polynomial rings over a field with non-global orderings can be found in [GP]. Combining the two proofs gives termination and correctness for Algorithm 3 in case of polynomial rings over $\mathbb{Z}$ and non-global orderings.

Example 2. *Let $\mathbb{Z}[x,y]$ with the local degree reverse monomial ordering. Consider the ideal*

$$I = \langle 6 + y + x^2, 4 + x \rangle .$$

Then a standard basis of I with respect to the ordering is

$$S = \left\{ 2 - x + y + x^2, x - 2y - x^2 - xy - x^3 \right\} .$$

$$T = \left\{ 2 - x + y + x^2, x - 2y - x^2 - xy - x^3 \right\} ,$$

(1)



At the end of the reduction

$$T = \left\{ 2 - x + y + x^2, x - 2y - x^2 - xy - x^3, 4 + x, 3x - 2y + 3x^2, x + 2y + 3x^2 \right\} .$$

Note that Algorithm 3 does not terminate in the example above if the gcd−polynoamial $x + 2y + 3x^2$ would not be added to T.

5. Appendix

In the following tables we print the ideals corresponding to the examples presented when comparing the ALL vs JUST timings in Section 2.

	Generators for ideal
Example A:1	$_{17} \cdot x^2y$, $_{22} \cdot y^3z + _3 \cdot x^2z^2 + _{28} \cdot y^2z^2 + _9 \cdot yz^2 + _{83} \cdot x^2 + _{13} \cdot yz$, $_{66} \cdot y^3z + _{63} \cdot xyz^2 + _{85} \cdot z^3$
Example A:2	$_{27} \cdot xyz + _{13} \cdot x^2 + _{89} \cdot y^2 + _{42} \cdot xz$, $_{35} \cdot x^3 + _{68} \cdot xy$, $_{44} \cdot x^3 + _{13} \cdot y^3 + _{81} \cdot y^2z + _4 \cdot yz^2$
Example A:3	$_{98} \cdot x^3y + _{45} \cdot yz^3$, $_{16} \cdot xy^3 + _{50} \cdot x^2y + _{45} \cdot y^2 + _{82} \cdot z^2$, $_9 \cdot x^3y + _{49} \cdot x^2yz + _{61} \cdot y^2z + _{52} \cdot z^3$
Example A:4	$_{25} \cdot y^3$, $_{60} \cdot y^3 + _{12} \cdot xyz + _{54} \cdot y^2z + _{98} \cdot yz^2 + _{35} \cdot x^2 + _{88} \cdot xy + _{19} \cdot z^2$, $_{87} \cdot x^2y + _{96} \cdot x^2$
Example A:5	$_{76} \cdot y^2z + _{61} \cdot y^2 + _{51} \cdot yz + _{19} \cdot z^2$, $_{31} \cdot x^3 + _3 \cdot xy^2 + _{70} \cdot y^2z$, $_{84} \cdot x^3 + _{30} \cdot x^2z + _{44} \cdot xz$
Example A:6	$_{19} \cdot x^3 + _2 \cdot xy + _{29} \cdot y^2$, $_9 \cdot xy^2 + _{42} \cdot y^3 + _2 \cdot yz^2$, $_{54} \cdot xy^2 + _{83} \cdot x^2z + _{98} \cdot xy + _{78} \cdot yz$
Example A:7	$_{72} \cdot x^3y + _{50} \cdot x^2yz$, $_{64} \cdot x^3z + _{30} \cdot x^2yz + _{74} \cdot x^3 + _{38} \cdot xy^2 + _{74} \cdot z^3$, $_{76} \cdot x^2y^2 + _{13} \cdot y^2 + _{40} \cdot z^2$
Example A:8	$_{27} \cdot xz^3 + _{87} \cdot y^2z + _3 \cdot z^2$, $_{67} \cdot x^3z + _{42} \cdot y^3z + _{67} \cdot x^2y + _{90} \cdot yz^2 + _{73} \cdot xy$, $_{38} \cdot x^3y + _{69} \cdot x^2yz$
Example A:9	$_{21} \cdot x^3y + _{18} \cdot x^3z + _{45} \cdot x^2yz + _{100} \cdot xy^2z + _{43} \cdot yz$, $_{85} \cdot x^2y + _{93} \cdot xy^2$, $_{14} \cdot y^2z^2 + _6 \cdot x^2y + _{91} \cdot z^2$
Example A:10	$_{69} \cdot y^2$, $_{47} \cdot x^3y + _{82} \cdot xyz^2 + _{74} \cdot yz^3 + _{55} \cdot xyz + _{96} \cdot xz^2 + _{46} \cdot x^2$, $_{16} \cdot xy^2z + _{17} \cdot z^4 + _{36} \cdot yz^2$

TABLE 3. Examples for ALL Strategy

	Generators for ideal
Example B:1	$_{6}\cdot x^3z + _{29}\cdot x^2z + _{42}\cdot xy$, $x^3z + _{47}\cdot x^2yz + _{28}\cdot xz^2 + _{46}\cdot x^2$, $_{96}\cdot z^3$
Example B:2	$_{9}\cdot x^2y^2 + _{51}\cdot x^3z + _{10}\cdot z^3 + _{28}\cdot x^2 + _{7}\cdot y^2$, $_{43}\cdot x^3y + _{3}\cdot x^2z^2 + _{86}\cdot xyz^2 + _{24}\cdot z^4 + _{67}\cdot x^2z + _{68}\cdot yz^2 + _{27}\cdot xy$, $_{23}\cdot xz^2$
Example B:3	$_{50}\cdot xz^3 + _{49}\cdot yz^2 + _{15}\cdot z^2$, $_{2}\cdot x^3y + _{16}\cdot y^3z + _{74}\cdot y^3 + _{53}\cdot x^2$, $_{4}\cdot xy^2$
Example B:4	$_{57}\cdot xyz^2 + _{32}\cdot y^3 + _{26}\cdot yz^2 + _{24}\cdot z^2$, $_{27}\cdot y^4 + _{33}\cdot y^3z + _{94}\cdot z^2$, $_{52}\cdot x^2z$
Example B:5	$_{91}\cdot y^4 + _{20}\cdot x^3z + _{34}\cdot x^2$, $_{38}\cdot xyz^2 + _{18}\cdot x^3 + _{95}\cdot x^2z + _{82}\cdot yz$, $_{98}\cdot y^3$

TABLE 4. Examples for JUST Strategy

The ideal for Example 1:

We consider over $\mathbb{Z}[x,y,z]$ the degree reverse lexicographical ordering and the ideal $\mathtt{I}$ generated by the following 70 polynomials $f_1,\ldots,f_{70}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= {}_{42}\cdot x^3z + y^2z - yz + {}_{11}\cdot y\\
f_2 &= y^3z^2 - y^2z^2 + {}_{11}\cdot y^2z + {}_{484}\\
f_3 &= y^4z - y^3z - {}_{10648}\cdot x^3 + {}_{11}\cdot y^3 - {}_{44}\cdot y^2 + {}_{44}\cdot y\\
f_4 &= x^3yz^2 - {}_{2}\\
f_5 &= {}_{11}\cdot x^3y^2z + {}_{484}\cdot x^3 + {}_{2}\cdot y^2 - {}_{2}\cdot y\\
f_6 &= {}_{117128}\cdot x^6 - {}_{121}\cdot x^3y^3 + {}_{968}\cdot x^3y^2 - {}_{968}\cdot x^3y + {}_{2}\cdot y^4 - {}_{4}\cdot y^3 + {}_{2}\cdot y^2\\
f_7 &= {}_{121}\cdot x^6z^3 + yz - z + {}_{11}\\
f_8 &= {}_{2178}\cdot x^2y^2z^3 - {}_{1452}\cdot x^2yz^3 + {}_{15972}\cdot x^2yz^2\\
f_9 &= {}_{1452}\cdot x^2y^3z^2 - {}_{1452}\cdot x^2y^2z^2 + {}_{7986}\cdot x^2y^2z\\
f_{10} &= -{}_{726}\cdot x^3y^2z^2 + {}_{484}\cdot x^3yz^2 + y^4z^2 - {}_{5324}\cdot x^3yz - y^3z^2 + {}_{22}\cdot y^3z - {}_{11}\cdot y^2z\\
&\quad + {}_{121}\cdot y^2\\
f_{11} &= {}_{2904}\cdot x^2y^3z^2 - {}_{2178}\cdot x^2y^2z^2 + {}_{23958}\cdot x^2y^2z + {}_{351384}\cdot x^2\\
f_{12} &= {}_{726}\cdot x^2y^4z - {}_{726}\cdot x^2y^3z + {}_{7730448}\cdot x^5 + {}_{31944}\cdot x^2y^2 - {}_{31944}\cdot x^2y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{13} &= -968 \cdot x^3y^3z + 726 \cdot x^3y^2z - 2 \cdot y^5z - 7986 \cdot x^3y^2 + 4 \cdot y^4z + 21296 \cdot x^3y \\
&\quad -22 \cdot y^4 - 2 \cdot y^3z - 10648 \cdot x^3 + 110 \cdot y^3 - 132 \cdot y^2 + 44 \cdot y \\
f_{14} &= 95832 \cdot x^2y^2z^2 - 63888 \cdot x^2yz^2 + 702768 \cdot x^2yz \\
f_{15} &= 63888 \cdot x^2y^3z - 63888 \cdot x^2y^2z + 351384 \cdot x^2y^2 \\
f_{16} &= -5 \cdot y^6z^2 + 9 \cdot y^5z^2 - 88 \cdot y^5z - 4 \cdot y^4z^2 + 253 \cdot y^4z - 363 \cdot y^4 - 264 \cdot y^3z \\
&\quad +968 \cdot y^3 + 88 \cdot y^2z - 484 \cdot y^2 \\
f_{17} &= 726 \cdot x^5z^3 - 6 \cdot x^2y^2z^3 + 3 \cdot x^2yz^3 - 33 \cdot x^2yz^2 \\
f_{18} &= 726 \cdot x^5yz^2 - 3 \cdot x^2y^3z^2 + 3 \cdot x^2y^2z^2 \\
f_{19} &= -242 \cdot x^6z^2 + 3 \cdot x^3y^2z^2 - x^3yz^2 + 22 \cdot x^3yz \\
f_{20} &= -9 \cdot x^2y^3z^4 + 6 \cdot x^2y^2z^4 - 66 \cdot x^2y^2z^3 \\
f_{21} &= -6 \cdot x^2y^4z^3 + 6 \cdot x^2y^3z^3 - 33 \cdot x^2y^3z^2 \\
f_{22} &= 4 \cdot x^3y^3z^3 - 2 \cdot x^3y^2z^3 + 33 \cdot x^3y^2z^2 \\
f_{23} &= -12 \cdot x^2y^4z^3 + 9 \cdot x^2y^3z^3 - 31944 \cdot x^5z^2 - 99 \cdot x^2y^3z^2 + 264 \cdot x^2y^2z^2 - 132 \cdot x^2yz^2 \\
f_{24} &= -3 \cdot x^2y^5z^2 + 3 \cdot x^2y^4z^2 - 63888 \cdot x^5yz \\
f_{25} &= 7 \cdot x^3y^4z^2 - 5 \cdot x^3y^3z^2 + 66 \cdot x^3y^3z - 176 \cdot x^3y^2z + 88 \cdot x^3yz \\
f_{26} &= 15972 \cdot x^5yz^2 - 66 \cdot x^2y^3z^2 + 33 \cdot x^2y^2z^2 - 363 \cdot x^2y^2z - 15972 \cdot x^2 \\
f_{27} &= -33 \cdot x^2y^4z + 33 \cdot x^2y^3z - 351384 \cdot x^5 - 1452 \cdot x^2y^2 + 1452 \cdot x^2y \\
f_{28} &= -5324 \cdot x^6yz + 11 \cdot x^3y^2z + 121 \cdot x^3y^2 - 968 \cdot x^3y + 484 \cdot x^3 - 4 \cdot y^3 + 6 \cdot y^2 - 2 \cdot y \\
f_{29} &= -99 \cdot x^2y^4z^3 + 66 \cdot x^2y^3z^3 - 726 \cdot x^2y^3z^2 - 4356 \cdot x^2y^2z^2 + 2904 \cdot x^2yz^2 \\
&\quad -31944 \cdot x^2yz \\
f_{30} &= -66 \cdot x^2y^5z^2 + 66 \cdot x^2y^4z^2 - 363 \cdot x^2y^4z - 2904 \cdot x^2y^3z + 2904 \cdot x^2y^2z \\
&\quad -15972 \cdot x^2y^2 \\
f_{31} &= -11 \cdot x^3y^4z^2 + 22 \cdot x^3y^3z^2 - 8 \cdot y^4z + 12 \cdot y^3z - 44 \cdot y^3 - 4 \cdot y^2z + 22 \cdot y^2 \\
f_{32} &= -132 \cdot x^2y^5z^2 + 99 \cdot x^2y^4z^2 - 702768 \cdot x^5yz - 1089 \cdot x^2y^4z - 2904 \cdot x^2y^3z \\
&\quad +2904 \cdot x^2y^2z - 47916 \cdot x^2y^2 \\
f_{33} &= -33 \cdot x^2y^6z + 33 \cdot x^2y^5z - 351384 \cdot x^5y^2 - 1452 \cdot x^2y^4 + 1452 \cdot x^2y^3 \\
f_{34} &= 22 \cdot x^3y^5z - 11 \cdot x^3y^4z + 363 \cdot x^3y^4 - 968 \cdot x^3y^3 + 484 \cdot x^3y^2 - 4 \cdot y^5 + 6 \cdot y^4 \\
&\quad -2 \cdot y^3 \\
f_{35} &= 33 \cdot x^5y^2z^3 - 1452 \cdot x^5z^2 + 12 \cdot x^2y^2z^2 - 6 \cdot x^2yz^2 \\
f_{36} &= -33 \cdot x^5y^3z^2 - 2904 \cdot x^5yz \\
f_{37} &= -33 \cdot x^6y^2z^2 - 8 \cdot x^3y^2z + 4 \cdot x^3yz \\
f_{38} &= -263538 \cdot x^5y^2z + 726 \cdot x^2y^4z - 363 \cdot x^2y^3z - 7730448 \cdot x^5 + 3993 \cdot x^2y^3 \\
&\quad -31944 \cdot x^2y^2 + 31944 \cdot x^2y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{39} &= -170069856\cdot x^8 + 87846\cdot x^5y^3 - 1405536\cdot x^5y^2 + 363\cdot x^2y^5 + 1405536\cdot x^5y \\
&\quad -3267\cdot x^2y^4 + 5808\cdot x^2y^3 - 2904\cdot x^2y^2 \\
f_{40} &= 87846\cdot x^6y^2 - 468512\cdot x^6y + 363\cdot x^3y^4 + 234256\cdot x^6 - 4235\cdot x^3y^3 + 5808\cdot x^3y^2 \\
&\quad -8\cdot y^5 - 1936\cdot x^3y + 20\cdot y^4 - 16\cdot y^3 + 4\cdot y^2 \\
f_{41} &= -2108304\cdot x^5y^2z^2 + 1089\cdot x^2y^5z^2 + 1405536\cdot x^5yz^2 - 9438\cdot x^2y^4z^2 \\
&\quad -15460896\cdot x^5yz + 7986\cdot x^2y^4z + 14520\cdot x^2y^3z^2 \\
&\quad -63888\cdot x^2y^3z - 5808\cdot x^2y^2z^2 + 63888\cdot x^2y^2z \\
f_{42} &= -1405536\cdot x^5y^3z + 726\cdot x^2y^6z + 1405536\cdot x^5y^2z - 6534\cdot x^2y^5z - 7730448\cdot x^5y^2 \\
&\quad +3993\cdot x^2y^5 + 11616\cdot x^2y^4z - 31944\cdot x^2y^4 - 5808\cdot x^2y^3z + 31944\cdot x^2y^3 \\
f_{43} &= 726\cdot x^3y^5z - 4598\cdot x^3y^4z + 3993\cdot x^3y^4 + 5808\cdot x^3y^3z - 16\cdot y^6z - 21296\cdot x^3y^3 \\
&\quad -1936\cdot x^3y^2z + 40\cdot y^5z + 10648\cdot x^3y^2 - 88\cdot y^5 - 32\cdot y^4z + 132\cdot y^4 + 8\cdot y^3z \\
&\quad -44\cdot y^3 \\
f_{44} &= -2811072\cdot x^5y^3z + 1452\cdot x^2y^6z + 2108304\cdot x^5y^2z - 12705\cdot x^2y^5z - 11595672\cdot x^5y^2 \\
&\quad +11979\cdot x^2y^5 + 20328\cdot x^2y^4z - 127776\cdot x^2y^4 - 8712\cdot x^2y^3z + 111804\cdot x^2y^3 \\
f_{45} &= -702768\cdot x^5y^4 + 363\cdot x^2y^7 + 702768\cdot x^5y^3 - 3267\cdot x^2y^6 + 5808\cdot x^2y^5 - 2904\cdot x^2y^4 \\
f_{46} &= 363\cdot x^3y^6 - 2299\cdot x^3y^5 + 2904\cdot x^3y^4 - 8\cdot y^7 - 968\cdot x^3y^3 + 20\cdot y^6 - 16\cdot y^5 + 4\cdot y^4 \\
f_{47} &= -702768\cdot x^8z^2 - 726\cdot x^5y^3z^2 + 2904\cdot x^5y^2z^2 + 24\cdot x^2y^4z^2 - 36\cdot x^2y^3z^2 \\
&\quad +12\cdot x^2y^2z^2 \\
f_{48} &= -1405536\cdot x^8yz + 726\cdot x^5y^4z - 5808\cdot x^5y^3z + 5808\cdot x^5y^2z \\
f_{49} &= 726\cdot x^6y^3z - 3872\cdot x^6y^2z + 1936\cdot x^6yz - 16\cdot x^3y^4z + 24\cdot x^3y^3z - 8\cdot x^3y^2z \\
f_{50} &= -15460896\cdot x^8yz - 3993\cdot x^5y^4z + 31944\cdot x^5y^2z + 264\cdot x^2y^5z - 527076\cdot x^5y^2 \\
&\quad -396\cdot x^2y^4z + 1452\cdot x^2y^4 + 132\cdot x^2y^3z - 726\cdot x^2y^3 \\
f_{51} &= -7730448\cdot x^8y^2 + 3993\cdot x^5y^5 - 31944\cdot x^5y^4 + 31944\cdot x^5y^3 \\
f_{52} &= 3993\cdot x^6y^4 - 21296\cdot x^6y^3 + 10648\cdot x^6y^2 - 88\cdot x^3y^5 + 132\cdot x^3y^4 - 44\cdot x^3y^3 \\
f_{53} &= -1452\cdot x^5yz^4 + 726\cdot x^5z^4 - 7986\cdot x^5z^3 + 726\cdot x^2z^2 \\
f_{54} &= 87846\cdot x^8z^3 - 726\cdot x^5y^2z^3 + 726\cdot x^5yz^3 + 726\cdot x^2yz - 726\cdot x^2z \\
f_{55} &= 726\cdot x^6yz^3 - 363\cdot x^6z^3 + 3993\cdot x^6z^2 - 242\cdot x^3z + y^2z - 2\cdot yz + 11\cdot y + z - 11 \\
f_{56} &= -2178\cdot x^5y^2z^5 + 1452\cdot x^5yz^5 - 15972\cdot x^5yz^4 \\
f_{57} &= -1452\cdot x^5y^3z^4 + 1452\cdot x^5y^2z^4 - 7986\cdot x^5y^2z^3 \\
f_{58} &= 1089\cdot x^6y^2z^4 - 726\cdot x^6yz^4 + 7986\cdot x^6yz^3 + y^3z^2 - 3\cdot y^2z^2 + 11\cdot y^2z + 2\cdot yz^2 \\
&\quad -22\cdot yz \\
f_{59} &= -2904\cdot x^5y^3z^4 + 2178\cdot x^5y^2z^4 - 23958\cdot x^5y^2z^3 + 63888\cdot x^5yz^3 - 31944\cdot x^5z^3 \\
&\quad -31944\cdot x^2z
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{60} &= -_{726}\cdot x^5y^4z^3 + _{726}\cdot x^5y^3z^3 - _{11595672}\cdot x^8z^2 - _{31944}\cdot x^2y + _{31944}\cdot x^2\\
f_{61} &= _{1452}\cdot x^6y^3z^3 - _{1089}\cdot x^6y^2z^3 + _{11979}\cdot x^6y^2z^2 - _{31944}\cdot x^6yz^2 + _{15972}\cdot x^6z^2\\
&\quad +_{3}\cdot y^4z - _{6}\cdot y^3z + _{33}\cdot y^3 + _{3}\cdot y^2z - _{121}\cdot y^2 + _{132}\cdot y - _{44}\\
f_{62} &= -_{726}\cdot x^8z^5 + _{3}\cdot x^2yz^3\\
f_{63} &= -_{363}\cdot x^8yz^4 + _{3}\cdot x^2y^2z^2 - _{3}\cdot x^2yz^2\\
f_{64} &= _{363}\cdot x^9z^4 - x^3yz^2 - x^3z^2\\
f_{65} &= -_{15972}\cdot x^8yz^4 - _{2904}\cdot x^5yz^3 + _{1452}\cdot x^5z^3 + _{33}\cdot x^2y^2z^2 + _{1452}\cdot x^2z\\
f_{66} &= _{3993}\cdot x^8y^2z^3 + _{527076}\cdot x^8z^2 + _{33}\cdot x^2y^3z - _{33}\cdot x^2y^2z + _{1452}\cdot x^2y - _{1452}\cdot x^2\\
f_{67} &= _{7986}\cdot x^9yz^3 + _{1452}\cdot x^6yz^2 - _{726}\cdot x^6z^2 + _{11}\cdot x^3y^2z - _{22}\cdot x^3yz + _{4}\cdot y^2 - _{6}\cdot y + _{2}\\
f_{68} &= _{263538}\cdot x^8y^2z^3 - _{1405536}\cdot x^8yz^3 + _{702768}\cdot x^8z^3 - _{5808}\cdot x^5y^3z^3 + _{8712}\cdot x^5y^2z^3\\
&\quad -_{2904}\cdot x^5yz^3 + _{702768}\cdot x^5z - _{363}\cdot x^2y^3z + _{2904}\cdot x^2y^2z - _{2904}\cdot x^2yz\\
f_{69} &= _{255104784}\cdot x^11z^2 - _{131769}\cdot x^8y^3z^2 + _{1054152}\cdot x^8y^2z^2 - _{1054152}\cdot x^8yz^2\\
&\quad +_{702768}\cdot x^5y - _{363}\cdot x^2y^4 - _{702768}\cdot x^5 + _{3267}\cdot x^2y^3 - _{5808}\cdot x^2y^2 + _{2904}\cdot x^2y\\
f_{70} &= -_{131769}\cdot x^9y^2z^2 + _{702768}\cdot x^9yz^2 - _{351384}\cdot x^9z^2 + _{2904}\cdot x^6y^3z^2 - _{4356}\cdot x^6y^2z^2\\
&\quad +_{1452}\cdot x^6yz^2 - _{363}\cdot x^3y^3 + _{2299}\cdot x^3y^2 - _{2904}\cdot x^3y + _{8}\cdot y^4 + _{968}\cdot x^3 - _{20}\cdot y^3\\
&\quad +_{16}\cdot y^2 - _{4}\cdot y
\end{aligned}
$$

References

[S] Decker, W, Greuel G.-M., Pfister G., Schönemann, H., 2016, Singular 4-0-3 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. Available at www.singular.uni-kl.de.

[GP] Greuel G.-M., Pfister G., 2008. *A Singular Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Springer-Verlag

[L] Lichtblau D., 2012. *Effective Computation of Strong Gröbner Bases over Euclidean Domains*. Illinois J. of Math. **56(1)**, 177-194.

[M] Mora T., 1982. *An Algorithm to Compute the Equations of the Tangent Cone*, LN Comp, **144**, 158165.

[MPT] Mora T., Pfister G., Traverso C., 1992. *An Introduction to the Tangent Cone Algorithm*, Advances in Computing Research, Issues in Robotics and Nonlinear Geometry, **6**, 199270.

[Pf] Pfister G., 1991. *The Tangent Cone Algorithm and some Applications to Algebraic Geometry*, Proceedings of the MEGA-Conference 1990, Birkhauser **94**, 399-409.

[Po] Popescu A., 2016. *Signature Standard Bases over Principal Ideal Rings*, PhD thesis, University of Kaiserslautern.

[W] Wienand O., 2011. *Algorithms for Symbolic Computation and their Applications - Standard Bases over Rings and Rank Tests in Statistics*, PhD thesis, University of Kaiserslautern.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kaiserslautern,, Erwin-Schrödinger-Str., 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany

E-mail address: ederc@mathematik.uni-kl.de

Department of Mathematics, University of Kaiserslautern,, Erwin-Schrödinger-Str., 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany

E-mail address: pfister@mathematik.uni-kl.de

Department of Mathematics, University of Kaiserslautern,, Erwin-Schrödinger-Str., 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany

E-mail address: popescu@mathematik.uni-kl.de